DYNAMIC MEMORY ALLOCATION: BASIC CONCEPTS CS 045

Computer Organization and Architecture

Prof. Donald J. Patterson

Adapted from Bryant and O'Hallaron, Computer Systems:

A Programmer's Perspective, Third Edition

DYNAMIC MEMORY ALLOCATION: BASIC

BASIC CONCEPTS

• IMPLICIT FREE LISTS


DYNAMIC MEMORY ALLOCATION

- Programmers use dynamic memory allocators (such as malloc) to acquire VM at run time.
 - For data structures whose size is only known at runtime.
- Dynamic memory allocators manage an area of process virtual memory known as the heap.

Application

Dynamic Memory Allocator

Heap



DYNAMIC MEMORY ALLOCATION

- Allocator maintains heap as collection of variable sized blocks, which are either allocated or free
- Types of allocators
 - Explicit allocator: application allocates and frees space
 - E.g., malloc and free in C
 - Implicit allocator: application allocates, but does not free space
 - E.g. garbage collection in Java, ML, and Lisp
- Will discuss simple explicit memory allocation today



THE "MALLOC" PACKAGE

```
#include <stdlib.h>
void *malloc(size_t size)
```

- Successful:
 - Returns a pointer to a memory block of at least size bytes aligned to an 8-byte (x86) or 16-byte (x86-64) boundary
 - If size == 0, returns NULL
- Unsuccessful: returns NULL (0) and sets errno

void free(void *p)

- Returns the block pointed at by p to pool of available memory
- p must come from a previous call to malloc or realloc

Other functions


- calloc: Version of malloc that initializes allocated block to zero.
- realloc: Changes the size of a previously allocated block.
- sbrk: Used internally by allocators to grow or shrink the heap

EXAMPLE OF MALLOC USAGE

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
void foo(int n) {
    int i, *p;
    /* Allocate a block of n ints */
    p = (int *) malloc(n * sizeof(int));
    if (p == NULL) {
        perror("malloc");
        exit(0);
    }
    /* Initialize allocated block */
    for (i=0; i<n; i++)</pre>
       p[i] = i;
    /* Return allocated block to the heap */
    free(p);
```


LECTURE ASSUMPTIONS

- Memory is word addressed.
- Words are int-sized.





ALLOCATION EXAMPLE





CONSTRAINTS

Applications

- Can issue arbitrary sequence of malloc and free requests
- **free** request must be to a **malloc**'d block

Allocators

- Can't control number or size of allocated blocks
- Must respond immediately to malloc requests
 - *i.e.*, can't reorder or buffer requests
- Must allocate blocks from free memory
 - *i.e.*, can only place allocated blocks in free memory
- Must align blocks so they satisfy all alignment requirements
 - 8-byte (x86) or 16-byte (x86-64) alignment on Linux boxes
- Can manipulate and modify only free memory
- Can't move the allocated blocks once they are malloc'd
 - i.e., compaction is not allowed



PERFORMANCE GOAL: THROUGHPUT

- Given some sequence of malloc and free requests:
 - $R_0, R_1, ..., R_k, ..., R_{n-1}$
- Goals: maximize throughput and peak memory utilization
 - These goals are often conflicting
- Throughput:
 - Number of completed requests per unit time
 - Example:
 - 5,000 malloc calls and 5,000 free calls in 10 seconds
 - Throughput is 1,000 operations/second




PERFORMANCE GOAL: PEAK MEMORY UTIL.

- Given some sequence of malloc and free requests:
 - $R_0, R_1, ..., R_k, ..., R_{n-1}$
- Def: Aggregate payload P_k
 - malloc(p) results in a block with a payload of p bytes
 - After request R_k has completed, the **aggregate payload** P_k is the sum of currently allocated payloads
- *Def:* Current heap size H_k
 - Assume H_k is monotonically nondecreasing
 - i.e., heap only grows when allocator uses **sbrk**
- *Def:* Peak memory utilization after k+1 requests
 - $U_k = (\max_{i <= k} P_i) / H_k$



INTERNAL FRAGMENTATION

■ For a given block, *internal fragmentation* occurs if payload is smaller than block size




Caused by

- Overhead of maintaining heap data structures
- Padding for alignment purposes
- Explicit policy decisions
 (e.g., to return a big block to satisfy a small request)
- Depends only on the pattern of previous requests
 - Thus, easy to measure



EXTERNAL FRAGMENTATION

Occurs when there is enough aggregate heap memory,
 but no single free block is large enough



- Depends on the pattern of future requests
 - Thus, difficult to measure



IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES


- How do we know how much memory to free given just a pointer?
- How do we keep track of the free blocks?
- What do we do with the extra space when allocating a structure that is smaller than the free block it is placed in?
- How do we pick a block to use for allocation -- many might fit?
- How do we reinsert freed block?



KNOWING HOW MUCH TO FREE

Standard method

- Keep the length of a block in the word preceding the block.
 - This word is often called the *header field* or *header*
- Requires an extra word for every allocated block



KEEPING TRACK OF FREE BLOCKS

■ Method 1: *Implicit list* using length—links all blocks



Method 2: Explicit list among the free blocks using pointers



- Method 3: Segregated free list
 - Different free lists for different size classes
- Method 4: *Blocks sorted by size*
 - Can use a balanced tree (e.g. Red-Black tree) with pointers within each free block, and the length used as a key

DYNAMIC MEMORY ALLOCATION: BASIC

BASIC CONCEPTS

• IMPLICIT FREE LISTS

METHOD 1: IMPLICIT LIST


■ For each block we need both size and allocation status

Could store this information in two words: wasteful!

Standard trick

- If blocks are aligned, some low-order address bits are always 0
- Instead of storing an always-0 bit, use it as a allocated/free flag
- When reading size word, must mask out this bit

Format of allocated and free blocks



a = 1: Allocated block


a = 0: Free block

Size: block size

Payload: application data (allocated blocks only)



DETAILED IMPLICIT FREE LIST EXAMPLE



Double-word aligned

Allocated blocks: shaded

Free blocks: unshaded

Headers: labeled with size in bytes/allocated bit



IMPLICIT LIST: FINDING A FREE BLOCK

■ First fit:

Search list from beginning, choose first free block that fits:

- Can take linear time in total number of blocks (allocated and free)
- In practice it can cause "splinters" at beginning of list

Next fit:

- Like first fit, but search list starting where previous search finished
- Should often be faster than first fit: avoids re-scanning unhelpful blocks
- Some research suggests that fragmentation is worse

■ Best fit:


- Search the list, choose the best free block: fits, with fewest bytes left over
- Keeps fragments small—usually improves memory utilization
- Will typically run slower than first fit




IMPLICIT LIST: ALLOCATING IN FREE BLOCK

Allocating in a free block: splitting

 Since allocated space might be smaller than free space, we might want to split the block






IMPLICIT LIST: FREEING A BLOCK

Simplest implementation:

Need only clear the "allocated" flag
void free_block(ptr p) { *p = *p & -2 }

But can lead to "false fragmentation"




malloc(5) Oops!

There is enough free space, but the allocator won't be able to find it



IMPLICIT LIST: COALESCING

- Join (coalesce) with next/previous blocks, if they are free
 - Coalescing with next block




But how do we coalesce with previous block?




IMPLICIT LIST: BIDIRECTIONAL COALESCING

Boundary tags [Knuth73]

- Replicate size/allocated word at "bottom" (end) of free blocks
- Allows us to traverse the "list" backwards, but requires extra space
- Important and general technique!






a = 1: Allocated block

a = 0: Free block

Size: Total block size

Payload: Application data (allocated blocks only)

CONSTANT TIME COALESCING





CONSTANT TIME COALESCING (CASE 1)

| m1 | 1 | | m1 | 1 |
|----|---|----------|----|---|
| | | | | |
| m1 | 1 | | m1 | 1 |
| n | 1 | | n | 0 |
| | | → | | |
| n | 1 | | n | 0 |
| m2 | 1 | | m2 | 1 |
| | | | | |
| m2 | 1 | | m2 | 1 |



CONSTANT TIME COALESCING (CASE 2)

| m1 | 1 | | m1 | |
|----|---|----------|------|--|
| | | | | |
| m1 | 1 | | m1 | |
| n | 1 | | n+m2 | |
| | | → | | |
| n | 1 | | | |
| m2 | 0 | | | |
| | | | | |
| m2 | 0 | | n+m2 | |
| | · | | | |



CONSTANT TIME COALESCING (CASE 3)

| m1 | 0 | | n+m1 | 0 |
|----|---|----------|------|---|
| | | | | |
| m1 | 0 | | | |
| n | 1 | | | |
| | | → | | |
| n | 1 | | n+m1 | 0 |
| m2 | 1 | | m2 | 1 |
| | | | | |
| m2 | 1 | | m2 | 1 |



CONSTANT TIME COALESCING (CASE 4)

| m1 | 0 | | n+m1+m2 | 0 |
|----|---|----------|---------|---|
| | | | | |
| m1 | 0 | | | |
| n | 1 | | | |
| | | → | | |
| n | 1 | | | |
| m2 | 0 | | | |
| | | | | |
| m2 | 0 | | n+m1+m2 | 0 |



DISADVANTAGES OF BOUNDARY TAGS

- Internal fragmentation
- Can it be optimized?
 - Which blocks need the footer tag?
 - What does that mean?



SUMMARY



SUMMARY OF KEY ALLOCATOR POLICIES

Placement policy:

- First-fit, next-fit, best-fit, etc.
- Trades off lower throughput for less fragmentation
- Interesting observation: segregated free lists (next lecture)
 approximate a best fit placement policy without having to search entire
 free list

Splitting policy:

- When do we go ahead and split free blocks?
- How much internal fragmentation are we willing to tolerate?

Coalescing policy:

- Immediate coalescing: coalesce each time free is called
- Deferred coalescing: try to improve performance of free by deferring coalescing until needed. Examples:
 - Coalesce as you scan the free list for malloc
 - Coalesce when the amount of external fragmentation reaches some threshold



IMPLICIT LISTS: SUMMARY

- Implementation: very simple
- Allocate cost:
 - linear time worst case
- Free cost:
 - constant time worst case
 - even with coalescing
- Memory usage:
 - will depend on placement policy
 - First-fit, next-fit or best-fit
- Not used in practice for malloc/free because of lineartime allocation
 - used in many special purpose applications
- However, the concepts of splitting and boundary tag coalescing are general to all allocators

